Ishel
International Seminar on Humanity, Education, and Language
Universitas Negeri Jakarta | Online Conference |
Volume 1 , July 2025

When the Earth Must Change, Where Will Education Go? Integrating Social-Emotional Learning and Green Education for a Sustainable Future

Endry Boeriswati
Universitas Negeri Jakarta
endry.boeriswati@unj.ac.id

Abstract
Anthropogenic climate disruption has accelerated planetary instability, driving mass extinction, ecological degradation, and cascading social crises. These irreversible transformations demand not only technological adaptation, but a fundamental reinvention of education. This article argues that a sustainable and humane future—particularly toward the year 2200—requires the integrated development of Green Education and Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) as a unified educational paradigm. Through theoretical synthesis and future-scenario analysis, the paper explores two contrasting trajectories of human civilization: fragmented survival under severe ecological collapse, and regenerative adaptation within planetary boundaries. In both scenarios, the survival and well-being of future generations depend on learners' capacity to understand complex Earth systems, cultivate ecological ethics, and collaborate across cultures.The proposed educational framework emphasizes (1) deep systems literacy, including climate justice, bioregional regeneration, and anticipatory governance; (2) advanced SEL for ecological grief processing, empathy beyond the human species, and conflict transformation; and (3) language education as a medium for ecolinguistic awareness, intercultural cooperation, and narrative reconstruction toward ecological responsibility. Transforming current education requires structural reform of teacher preparation, curriculum, pedagogy, infrastructure, and policy. The paper concludes that integrated SEL–Green Education is not optional, but urgent. Decisions made today will determine whether education in 2200 shapes a world of technological survivalism or regenerative flourishing. Planting the seeds of transformative education now is essential to empower future generations to live, learn, and thrive on a radically changing Earth

Keywords:
Social-Emotional Learning; Green Education; Sustainability Education; Ecolinguistics; Systems Thinking; Climate Change Education.

Introduction

Anthropogenic climate disruption is causing significant, irreversible change on Earth. The IPCC synthesizes the scientific consensus, which presents an increasingly bleak picture: planetary stability is threatened by sea-level rise, extreme weather intensification, ocean acidification, and accelerated global warming (IPCC, 2023). This is a cascading disaster that is driving ecosystems towards collapse rather than just environmental change. With species disappearing at rates 100–1000 times faster than historical levels, we are seeing a sixth mass extinction event, mostly due to habitat loss and climate change (Ceballos et al., 2015). At a startling rate, the complex web of life that is necessary for human survival is disintegrating.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21009/ishel.v1i1. 61984

Humanity's connection with the Earth must change along with it, necessitating a radical rethinking of education. As the cornerstone of a revised educational system for the year 2200 that can promote a sustainable future, this essay examines the crucial combination of SocialEmotional Learning (SEL) and Green Education.

Brainstorming

Human Existence in 2200: Situations Created by Current Decisions

Although it is difficult to predict life a century from now, trajectories can be divided according to existing adaptation and mitigation efforts:

1. **First Scenario**: Disjointed Resilience in a Reduced World:
   2200 might see a planet irreparably changed by 3–4°C+ warming if mitigation efforts are not successfully implemented in the ensuing decades. Extreme heat, sea level rise, or desertification render vast areas uninhabitable (Xu et al., 2020). It's possible that scattered, fortified inland communities have supplanted coastal megacities. Geopolitics is dominated by resource scarcity (water, arable land), which fuels conflict and global migration (Ide et al., 2021). Highly regulated indoor agriculture and synthetic biology are key components of food systems. When biodiversity is lost, ecosystems become simple and fragile, necessitating ongoing technology intervention to provide fundamental services. Social institutions may place a strong emphasis on localized survivalism, with vulnerable populations and techno-adaptive pockets experiencing glaring disparities. Trauma and ecological bereavement have a significant impact on mental health (Cunsolo & Ellis, 2018).
2. **Scenario 2**: Regenerative Adaptation within Planetary Boundaries:
   In 2200, civilizations may function within planetary boundaries if mankind adopts deep adaptation based on justice and executes previously unheard-of, rapid decarbonization. Societies place a higher priority on regeneration even when past emissions call for continuous adaptation (controlled retreat from coasts, climate-resilient infrastructure). Biomimicry, circular economies, and renewable energy are fundamental. Agriculture restores degraded lands by combining vertical farming and improved agroecology (Tendall et al., 2015). Green infrastructure and community resilience are given priority in rewilded cities. Cooperation, equity, and intergenerational justice are prioritized by social institutions (O'Brien, 2018). Technology focuses on improving ecological integrity and remediation (carbon drawdown). Beyond financial possessions, wellbeing is reinterpreted to emphasize ties to nature and community.

2200 Education: The Realization of the Imperative Integration

Education in 2200 must be radically different from its 21st-century predecessors, regardless of the prevailing scenario. It will be completely different, with a focus on regenerative sustainability literacy and a seamless integration of advanced SEL, enabling people to actively co-create prosperous futures within ecological bounds rather than merely surviving them.

1) **Deep Systems Literacy**:
   (1) **Core Curriculum & Pedagogy**: Comprehending Earth systems, planetary limits, and socio-ecological feedback loops is fundamental, taking the place of

isolated disciplines (Wiek et al., 2011). The fundamentals of anticipatory governance and complexity science are essential.

(2) **Bioregional Regeneration**: Place-based learning is the most popular approach. Students actively participate in regenerative agriculture, renewable microgrid management, biodiversity monitoring, and local ecosystem restoration (Gruenewald, 2003). Schools serve as living sustainability laboratories.

(3) **Climate Justice & Ethics**: The curriculum focuses on indigenous and marginalized knowledge systems on land stewardship and adaptation, critically analyzes the historical causes of the crisis, and assesses its unfair effects (Whyte, 2017). It is crucial to use ethical reasoning for interspecies and intergenerational justice.

(4) **Practical Resilience & creativity**: Competencies include disaster preparedness, conflict resolution for resource scarcity, collaborative creativity for local solutions, and advanced sustainable technologies (e.g., biomaterials, closed-loop systems).

(5) **Pedagogy of Hope & Agency**: Using storytelling, the arts, and futures envisioning to foster agency and constructive hope, this approach shifts away from problem-centric learning and toward solution-finding (Ojala, 2012). Learning is typically experiential, project-based, and integrated into the community.

2) **The Foundational Bedrock: Advanced Social-Emotional Learning (SEL**): SEL is the psychological framework that is necessary to navigate the intricacies of 2200 and is not a supplementary component.

a. Resilience & Eco-sadness Navigation: In-depth techniques for coping with long-term ecological anxiety, sadness, and hopelessness, encouraging mental toughness and tenacity in the face of constant change (Pihkala, 2020).
b. Deep Empathy & Interspecies Connection: Developing empathy that goes beyond humans to all living forms and future generations inspires stewardship with an inherent sense of purpose (Kals et al., 1999).
c. Radical Collaboration & Conflict Transformation: Gaining expertise in nonviolent conflict resolution in resource-constrained settings, consensus-building across a range of viewpoints, and cooperative government (Schultz, 2002). Integrating intergenerational justice, ethical considerations, and long-term planetary effects into intricate decision-making processes is an example of Ethical Decision-Making & Foresight: (Tàbara & Chabay, 2013).

3) **The Critical Bridge: Language Education for Sustainability**:

In 2200, language instruction is essential to intercultural resilience and environmental sustainability:

a. Ecolinguistic Literacy & Narrative Shaping: opposing harmful discourses by critically examining and developing narratives that uphold ecological interconnection, the inherent worth of nature, and regenerative activities

(Stibbe, 2015). Understanding and reviving indigenous languages that are rich in ecological knowledge is part of this (Mühlhäusler, 2003).

b. International Cooperation & Knowledge Exchange:Being multilingual makes it easier to communicate, which is essential for exchanging scientific knowledge, adaption tactics, and coordinating global environmental governance across cultural divides (Heras et al., 2021).
c. The integration of SEL: In order to directly develop empathy, interpersonal skills, and responsible decision-making, language classrooms are essential venues for discussing ecological emotions through literature and media, practicing perspective-taking on environmental justice issues, and participating in group discussions and advocacy planning.
d. Creating Transformative Education in 2200: Improvements and Routes The state of education today is dreadfully deficient. Urgent, systemic action is necessary for transformation:
e. What Needs Improvement (Now): Discipline silos, teacher SEL and sustainability lack of preparation, outdated skill-focused standardized testing, lack of community integration, insufficient funding, and physical infrastructure unrelated to sustainability principles are all things that need to be addressed (Evans et al., 2017; Wals, 2012).
f. How to Plan & Prepare for 2200: Create ambitious, long-term national and international education policies that require SEL-Green integration as the primary goal of education, in line with the SDGs and the bounds of the planet.

3. Teacher Transformation:Put in place extensive, continuous programs for teacher education that emphasize ecolinguistics, sustainability science, location-based pedagogy, SEL facilitation, and systems thinking (Nolet, 2016).
4. Curriculum Revolution:Using open-access digital platforms, construct dynamic, modular curriculum focused on SEL capabilities and regenerative sustainability that are co-created with students, ethicists, indigenous leaders, and scientists.
5. Investing in training for experiential, project-based, futures-focused, and arts-integrated pedagogies that promote agency and hope is the fourth step in pedagogical innovation.
6. Infrastructure as Pedagogy:Renovate or retrofit schools to become zero-waste, biodiverse, net-positive energy centers that provide an example for sustainability and meet the needs of community resilience.
7. Community-Integrated Learning: Establish strong, mutually beneficial alliances with nearby farmers, civic associations, indigenous communities, environmental organizations, and cooperatives that produce renewable energy.
8. Redesign of Language Education:Incorporate into language curricula ecolinguistics, climate communication, intercultural communication for sustainability, and the preservation and revival of ecologically important languages.

CONCLUSION

The course of the Earth demands significant transformation. By 2200, education must be the catalyst for a regenerative future rather than a holdover from the past. The fundamental framework is provided by combining deep, applied Green Education that emphasizes systems

understanding, ecological regeneration, and practical skills with Social-Emotional Learning, which develops the empathy, resilience, teamwork, and ethical compass necessary for navigating complexity and promoting well-being. Language instruction is essential for multicultural solidarity, story construction, and communication. Immediate, drastic action is needed to design this revolutionary education, including a complete revamp of infrastructure, policy, pedagogy, curriculum, and teacher preparation. Our current decisions about education will have a significant impact on the future that is envisioned in 2200, whether it is one of fragmented survival or regenerative thriving. In order to nurture the generations that will inherit the Earth that we are irreparably changing, we must sow the seeds of this integrated SEL-Green education today.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Boeriswati, E. (2023). Development of teaching intellectual social-emotional learning model in strengthening pre-service teacher. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 6(6s), 478–487.

Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., & Dirzo, R. (2017). Biological annihilation via the ongoing sixth mass extinction signaled by vertebrate population losses and declines. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(30), E6089–E6096.

Cunsolo, A., & Ellis, N. R. (2018). Ecological grief as a mental health response to climate change-related loss. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(4), 275–281.

Evans, N., Stevenson, R. B., Lasen, M., Ferreira, J. A., & Davis, J. (2017). Approaches to embedding sustainability in teacher education: A synthesis of the literature. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 63, 405–417.

Gruenewald, D. A. (2003). Foundations of place: A multidisciplinary framework for place-conscious education. *American Educational Research Journal*, 40(3), 619–654.

Heras, M., Galafassi, D., Oteros-Rozas, E., Ravera, F., Berraquero-Díaz, L., & Ruiz-Mallén, I. (2021). Realising potentials for arts-based sustainability science. *Sustainability Science*, 16(6), 1875–1889.

Ide, T., Kristensen, A., & Bartusevičius, H. (2021). First comes the river, then comes the conflict? A qualitative comparative analysis of flood-related political unrest. *Journal of Peace Research*, 58(1), 83–97.

IPCC. (2023). *Climate Change 2023: Synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. IPCC.

Kals, E., Schumacher, D., & Montada, L. (1999). Emotional affinity toward nature as a motivational basis to protect nature. *Environment and Behavior*, 31(2), 178–202.

Mühlhäusler, P. (2003). *Language of environment, environment of language: A course in ecolinguistics*. *Language Sciences*, 25(6), 661–671.

Murtadho, F., & Boeriswati, E. (2024). Educators' understanding of intellectual social emotional skills as strengthening educators' character. *International Journal of Language Education and Cultural Review*, 10(2), 272–282. https://doi.org/10.21009/ijlecr.v10i2.54335

Nolet, V. (2016). *Educating for sustainability: Principles and practices for teachers*. Routledge.

O'Brien, K. (2018). Is the 1.5°C target possible? Exploring the three spheres of transformation. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 31, 153–160.

Ojala, M. (2012). Hope and climate change: The importance of hope for environmental engagement among young people. *Environmental Education Research*, 18(5), 625–642.

Pihkala, P. (2020). Eco-anxiety and environmental education. *Sustainability*, 12(23), 10149.

Schultz, P. W. (2002). Inclusion with nature: The psychology of human-nature relations. In P. Schmuck & W. Schultz (Eds.), *Psychology of sustainable development* (pp. 61–78). Springer.

Stibbe, A. (2015). *Ecolinguistics: Language, ecology and the stories we live by*. Routledge.

Tàbara, J. D., & Chabay, I. (2013). Coupling human information and knowledge systems with social–ecological systems change: Reframing research, education, and policy for sustainability. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 28, 71–81.

Tendall, D. M., Joerin, J., Kopainsky, B., Edwards, P., Shreck, A., Le, Q. B., ... & Six, J. (2015). Food system resilience: Defining the concept. *Global Food Security*, 6, 17–23.

Wals, A. E. J. (Ed.). (2012). *Learning our way to sustainability: Exploring new forms of education, participation and assessment*. Wageningen Academic Publishers.

Whyte, K. P. (2017). Indigenous climate change studies: Indigenizing futures, decolonizing the Anthropocene. *English Language Notes*, 55(1–2), 153–162.

Wiek, A., Withycombe, L., & Redman, C. L. (2011). Key competencies in sustainability: A reference framework for academic program development. *Sustainability Science*, 6(2), 203–218.

Xu, C., Kohler, T. A., Lenton, T. M., Svenning, J. C., & Scheffer, M. (2020). Future of the human climate niche. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(21), 11350–11355.