

THE EFFECT OF LEARNING MOTIVATION AND SELF-EFFICACY ON ACADEMIC PROCRASTINATION OF CLASS XI AT SMKN 14 JAKARTA

Nur Kholifah¹, Corry Yohana², Nadya Fadillah Fidyallah³

¹ Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

² Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

³ Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Abstract

Article history: Received: 19 July 2022; Accepted: 17 November 2022; Published: .

Keywords: Learning Motivation, Self-Efficacy, Academic Procrastination The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of learning motivation (X1) and self-efficacy (X2) with academic procrastination (Y) on students. This study uses quantitative methods with measurements using a Likert scale. In this study, class XI students of SMKN 14 Jakarta were selected as the population with a sample of 161 students. Proportional random sampling technique is a technique used for sampling. This study obtained the results of the hypothesis on the T test and F test. In the T test it resulted in T_{Count} X1 7.583 > T_{Table} 1.97509, it can be said that there is an influence between learning motivation and academic procrastination. Furthermore, T_{Count} X2 4.201 > T_{Table} 1.97509, it can be stated that there is an influence between self-efficacy and academic procrastination. Then the F test produces F_{Count} 28.669 > F_{Table} 3.05, which means that between learning motivation and self-efficacy there is a simultaneous influence on academic procrastination.

Abstrak

Tujuan penelitian ini untuk mengetahui pengaruh antara motivasi belajar (X1) dan efikasi diri (X2) dengan prokrastinasi akademik (Y) pada siswa. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode kuantitatif dengan pengukuran menggunakan skala *likert*. Dalam penelitian ini, siswa kelas XI SMKN 14 Jakarta dipilih sebagai populasi dengan sampel sebanyak 161 siswa. Teknik proportional random sampling adalah teknik yang digunakan untuk pengambilan sampel. Penelitian ini memperoleh hasil hipotesis pada uji T dan uji F. Dalam uji T menghasilkan T_{Hitung} X1 7,583 > T_{Tabel} 1,97509 maka dapat dikatakan bahwa terjadi pengaruh antara motivasi belajar dan prokrastinasi akademik. Selanjutnya, T_{Hitung} X2 4,201 > T_{Tabel} 1,97509 maka dapat dinyatakan bahwa terjadi pengaruh antara efikasi diri dan prokrastinasi akademik. Kemudian pada uji F menghasilkan F_{Hitung} 28,669 > F_{Tabel} 3,05 yang artinya antara motivasi belajar dan efikasi diri terjadi pengaruh secara simultan terhadap prokrastinasi akademik.

How to Cite:

Kholifah, N., Yohana, C., & Fidyallah, N.F. (2022). The Effect of Learning Motivation and Self-Efficacy on Academic Procrastination of Class XI Students at SMKN 14 Jakarta. *Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi, Perkantoran dan Akuntansi*, 7(2), 101-111.https://doi.org/10.21009/JPEPA.007.x.x

* Corresponding Author. <u>nurkholifah2299@gmail.com</u>Nur Kholifah

ISSN 2302-2663 (online) DOI: doi.org/10.21009/JPEPA.007.x.x

INTRODUCTION

Education in Indonesia is currently still trying to make changes and improvements. Judging from the conditions in Indonesia and the world which are being hit by the Covid-19 virus outbreak, it causes changes in the activities and conditions carried out by the community. The Covid-19 outbreak has hit various sectors, starting from the economy, politics, social, culture, defense and security, to education. So it is necessary to make changes, arrangements, and adjustments properly (Margianto, 2020). In the field of education, namely online learning or Distance Learning (PJJ) as a solution in limiting crowd activity in schools and universities (Herdian, 2021). Distance learning makes students feel bored, have difficulty understanding the material, lack time management and self-management in learning, and feel anxiety (Suhadianto et al., 2021). The distance learning system applied to students has side effects, one of which is procrastination. In line with the research results of Melgaard et al. (2021), that distance learning during the Covid-19 pandemic has a negative influence on procrastinators in various ways such as academic performance, willingness to learn, and lack of self-regulation. Turmudi and Suryadi (2021) explain that procrastination can be interpreted as a delay that is intentionally carried out and continuously and performs other activities outside the interests of carrying out tasks.

According to Muyana (2018) argues that academic procrastination is an activity of delaying when starting and completing a task at hand so that you experience delays in doing academic tasks at the desired time or delaying doing assignments until the last time. In a study of Indonesian students, the results showed that 78.5% of male students and 21.5% of female students carried out academic procrastination (Huda, 2015). The results of research by Margareta and Wahyudin (2019) 37 students of SMK or 43% have academic procrastination in the high category and 49 students or 57% have academic procrastination in the low category. The research data was obtained before the Covid-19 pandemic occurred. Then during the pandemic, based on the results of Gracelyta and Harlina (2021) research, it showed that there are 52 students (32.30%) in the low category, 102 students (63.35%) in the medium category and 7 others in the high category (4.35%).

According to Patrzek, Grunschel, and Fries (2012), academic procrastination resulted in a lack of motivation to change for some students. Learning motivation according to Sariwulan and Pujiastuti (2019) is an encouragement that comes from within the individual or student to achieve an achievement. Based on the discussion on the research result of Stevani and Marwan (2021), said that the academic procrastination of students in class X IPS SMA has a negative and significant effect on learning motivation. However, it is different from the results of research conducted by Cerino (2014) which says that there is a positive and significant relationship between motivation and academic procrastination.

In addition to learning motivation factors, procrastination is also influenced by several factors, namely self-regulation, self-control, irrational beliefs and one of them is self-efficacy (Handoyo et al., 2020). According to Lubis (2018), Self-efficacy is a person's confident attitude towards the level of ability in doing tasks, achieving goals, and planning a step to achieve a goal. In a study conducted by Damri, Engkizar, and Fuady (2017a), the results showed that there was a negative and significant relationship between self-efficacy and academic procrastination in students, which was defined that the higher a person's level of self-efficacy, the lower the level of academic procrastination and vice versa.

The research questions to be investigated are: (1) whether learning motivation has an effect on academic procrastination, (2) whether self-efficacy affects academic procrastination, and (3) whether learning motivation and self-efficacy affect academic procrastination. With this explanation and phenomenon, the researchers are interested in researching "The Influence of Learning Motivation and Self-Efficacy on Academic Procrastination of Class XI Students of SMKN 14 Jakarta".

RESEARCH THEORITICAL

Learning Motivation

Motivation finds a role in the learning process, students' learning motivation can be likened to a source to move the learning motivation engine, encouraging students to actively excel in class, but too strong motivation will actually have a negative effect on students' learning effort attitudes, this is because there need for a span of time to absorb, appreciate, and do how that motivation can be applied in everyday life, especially in education (Prihartanta, 2015). Learning motivation according to Rahmi (2019) is an encouragement and enthusiasm that arises from within students based on their own desires, it is marked by the emergence of feelings and reactions in achieving goals and directing the attitudes and behavior of students to learn.

Self Efficacy

The aspect of self-knowledge that has an influence in human daily life is selfefficacy. This is because self-efficacy will affect individuals in determining every action to be taken to achieve certain goals, including estimates of the events they face (Ghufron & Risnawita, 2010). As revealed by Herawati and Suyahya (2019), Regarding the notion of self-efficacy, namely the individual's belief that he is able to do something in certain situations with a higher level in facing a difficulty, assessing abilities, and trying to have the strength to survive.

Academic Procrastination

Procrastination comes from the Latin term procrastination, where "pro" means to push or move forward, while "crastinus" means tomorrow's decision, so procrastination means to postpone or postpone until the next day (Ghufron & Risnawita, 2010). Individuals who do procrastination are closely related to the emergence of feelings of fear of failure, dislike of the given task, difficulty controlling themselves, and difficulty when faced with a decision. In addition, there also a rational relationship, such as being difficult to find task material so that it can lead to procrastination towards the task (Ilyas & Suryadi, 2017). Academic procrastination according to A'yunina and Abdurrohim (2019), namely all forms of postponement of assignments and academic activities caused by something that can have a negative impact on academic performance.

METHODE

This study uses quantitative research methods. The can be defined as a method used to test the established hypothesis by examining certain populations and samples and the data analyzed are statistical (Sugiyono, 2013). Then, the sampling technique used was proportional random sampling with calculations using the Isaac and Michael tables with an error rate of 5%, so that the sample in this study was 161 students. This study uses primary data in the form of questionnaires that have been collected and validated. Analysis of the data in this study using analytical requirements test, multiple linear regression analysis, t test, f test, and the coefficient of determination.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The result obtained in this study, according to the results of the analysis on the profiles of respondents were categorized based on gender, age, and class of majors. The survey results obtained in this study through online questionnaires can be seen in the following table:

	Gender	
	Frequency	Percent
Man	59	36.6
Woman	102	63.4
Total	161	100.0
	Age	
	Frequency	Percent
> 17 years old	61	37.9
15 years	2	1.2
16-17 years old	98	60.9
Total	161	100.0
Course	es and clas	ses
	Frequency	Percent
XI AKL	43	26.7
XI BDP	36	22.4
XI MM	59	36.6
XI OTKP	23	14.3
Total	161	100.0

Table1 Respondent Data

Source: data processed by researchers, 2022

Based on the table above, it can be concluded that the number of respondents based on female gender is 63.4% (102 students) and male respondents are 36.6% (59 students). Then, respondent data based on age, namely the majority of respondents aged 16-17 years amounted to 60.9% (98 students), while aged over 17 years amounted to 37.9% (61 students) and only 1.2% (2 students) aged 15 years. Furthermore, respondent data based on class and majors were 36.6% (59 students) from class XI MM, 26.7% (43 students) from class XI AKL, 22.4% (36 students) from class XI BDP, and as many as 14.3% (23 students) from class XI OTKP.

1. Validity test

This test is used to measure the validity or accuracy of a data. The researcher uses the Statistical Product and Service Solution (SPSS) application by using the r product moment table. The following is the result of the calculation of the validity test:

R	R	Terformention
Count	Table	Information
Learning I	Motivatio	n
0.707	0.159	VALID
0.739	0.159	VALID
0.578	0.159	VALID
0.674	0.159	VALID
0.707	0.159	VALID
0.760	0.159	VALID
0.746	0.159	VALID
0.740	0.159	VALID
0.572	0.159	VALID
0.580	0.159	VALID
Self E	fficacy	
0.655	0.159	VALID
0.735	0.159	VALID
0.815	0.159	VALID
0.753	0.159	VALID
0.763	0.159	VALID
demic Pro	ocrastina	tion
0.579	0.159	VALID
0.441	0.159	VALID
0.723	0.159	VALID
0.706	0.159	VALID
0.703	0.159	VALID
0.611	0.159	VALID
0.732	0.159	VALID
	Count .earning N 0.707 0.739 0.578 0.674 0.707 0.760 0.746 0.740 0.572 0.580 Self Ef 0.655 0.735 0.815 0.735 0.815 0.753 0.763 0.763 	Count Table Learning Motivatio 0.707 0.159 0.739 0.159 0.578 0.159 0.578 0.159 0.674 0.159 0.674 0.159 0.707 0.159 0.707 0.159 0.707 0.159 0.760 0.159 0.740 0.159 0.740 0.159 0.572 0.159 0.572 0.159 0.580 0.159 0.572 0.159 0.735 0.159 0.735 0.159 0.753 0.159 0.763 0.159 0.763 0.159 0.763 0.159 0.763 0.159 0.723 0.159 0.723 0.159 0.706 0.159 0.703 0.159 0.703 0.159 0.703 0.159

Table2 Instrument Validity

Source: data processed by researchers, 2022

Based on the results of the calculation of the validity of the instrument, three variables, namely learning motivation, self-efficacy, and academic procrastination can be said to be valid because they meet the requirements $r_{count} > r_{Table}$ (0.159).

2. Reliability Test

This test is conducted to show the level of consistency of an instrument in the collected data. Researchers will use the Statistical Product and Service Solution (SPSS) Application. Reliability testing using Cronbach's Alpha (>0.6) Sugiyono (2013). The following are the results of the reliability test calculation:

Variable	Cronbac Alpha		Information
Learning Motivation	0.869	0.6	Reliable
Self Efficacy	0.800	0.6	Reliable
Academic Procrastination	0.766	0.6	Reliable
		-	

Table3 Variable Reliability

Source: data processed by researchers, 2022

The table above shows the results of the reliability test calculation. It can be concluded that the three variables used are said to be reliable with the condition that the Cronbach's Alpha value > 0.6 can be said to be reliable.

3. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

Conducted to determine the effect of two independent variables (X) or more on the dependent variable (Y). The following is a table of the results of multiple regression analysis calculations using SPSS:

		C	oefficients	a		
Model				Standardize		
		Unsta	andardized	d		
		Coe	efficients	Coefficients		
		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1 (Con	stant)	9.582	2,220		4.316	.000
Lear	ning	.428	.071	.537	5,985	.000
Moti	vation					
Self	Efficacy	046	.123	034	375	.708
a. Deper	ndent Variab	le: Aca	demic Procra	astination		

Table4 Multiple Linear Regression

Source: data processed by researchers, 2022

In multiple regression analysis, the equation is = 9.582 + 0.428 X1 + 0.046 X2, which means the constant value is 9.582. If the variable of learning motivation (X1) and self-efficacy (X2) is 0, then the value of the coefficient of academic procrastination is 9.582. The value of the learning motivation coefficient (X1) is 0.428 and if it increases by 1 value, it will increase the value of the academic procrastination variable (Y) by 0.428 with the value of X2 being fixed. The coefficient is positive, which means that there is a positive or unidirectional relationship between the learning motivation variable (X1) and the academic procrastination variable (Y). Then, the value of the self-efficacy coefficient (X2) is -0.046 and if it increases by 1 value, it will decrease the value of the academic procrastination variable (Y) by 0. 428 with there is a negative or opposite relationship between the self-efficacy coefficient (X2) is -0.046 and if it increases by 1 value, it will decrease the value of the academic procrastination variable (Y) by 0. 046 with the value of X1 is fixed. The coefficient is negative, which means that there is a negative or opposite relationship between the self-efficacy (X2) increases, academic procrastination (Y) will decrease and vice versa.

4. Coefficient of Determination

Is to determine the percentage of the influence of the independent variable on the dependent variable simultaneously. The following are the results of the calculation of the coefficient of determination using SPSS:

	Model	R	R Square
	1	.516a	.266
a.	Predictors	(Constant	t), Self-
	Efficacy, I	Learning N	Iotivation
b.	Dependent	t Variable:	Academic
	Procrastina	ation	
Sour	ce: data pro	cessed by re	esearchers, 2022

Table5 Coefficient of Determination

The table above shows that the resulting R Square is 0.266 or 26.6%. So it can be concluded that the percentage of learning motivation and self-efficacy variables to explain academic procrastination variables simultaneously by 26.6%, while the rest can be influenced by other variables not examined in this study.

5. Hypothesis testing

In testing the hypothesis, this study tested using the f test and t test which was calculated using SPSS. F test to determine whether or not there is a significant effect between the independent variables simultaneously on the dependent variable by comparing F_{count} with F_{table} at a significance level of 5%. While the T test is used to test the significant level of each independent variable on the dependent variable, if $T_{Count} > T_{Table}$ then there is a significant effect between the independent variable and the dependent variable. The following are the results of the calculation of the hypothesis test:

Model	t	Sig.
Learning Motivation	7.583	0.000
Self Efficacy	4,201	0.000

Table6 T Uji test

Source: data processed by researchers, 2022

]	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
]	Regressio n	922,259	2	461.130	28,669	.000a
	Residual	2541.380	158	16,085		
	Total	3463,640	160			

Table7 F test (Anova)

Based on the table presented above, it can be concluded:

Source: data

researchers, 2022

processed by

1. The Effect of Learning Motivation on Academic Procrastination

Based on the test results that have been described above, it is known to get the results that there is a positive influence between learning motivation variables on academic procrastination variables in class XI students of SMKN 14 Jakarta. This is evidenced by the results of the coefficient value in multiple regression analysis, namely 0.428, which means that if learning motivation has increased by one point, it will cause an increase in the value of the academic procrastination variable (Y) of 0.428 with the assumption that the value of X2 is fixed. The coefficient is positive, which means that if learning motivation (X1) increases, then academic procrastination (Y) will increase and vice versa, if academic procrastination (Y) increases, then learning motivation (X1) will also increase.

The results of the partial test or T test on the learning motivation variable obtained $T_{count} = 7.583$ and $T_{table} = 1.975$ with the T test carried out using a significance level of 0.05. So it can be concluded that there is an influence between the learning motivation variable (X1) and the academic procrastination variable (Y) partially. The results of this study are in line with the results of research conducted by Yulianda (2018) obtained the results of the analysis that the variable of learning motivation on academic procrastination has a large influence on the value of sig. 0.004 < 0.05.

The results of this study are in line with the results of research conducted by Cerino (2014) on a study of 101 respondents and said that there is a positive relationship between motivation and academic procrastination. Then, the research conducted by Senecal and Koestner (1995) proved that there was a positive influence between motivation and students' academic procrastination. In the results of research conducted by Margareta (2019), on one of the hypotheses stating learning motivation has a negative effect on academic procrastination is rejected with a large regression coefficient which shows a positive result of 1.057, meaning that there is a positive influence between learning motivation and academic procrastination. Then, contrary to the results of research conducted by Stevani and Marwan (2021), Ardiansyah (2019), and Mayrika, Daharnis, and Yusri (2015) who said that there was a negative influence between learning motivation and academic procrastination.

2. Effect of Self-Efficacy on Academic Procrastination

Based on the test results that have been described above, it is known to get the results that there is a negative influence between the self-efficacy variables on the academic procrastination variable in class XI students of SMKN 14 Jakarta. This is evidenced by the

results of the coefficient value in multiple regression analysis, namely 0.046, which means that if self-efficacy increases by one point, it will cause a decrease in the value of the academic procrastination variable (Y) by 0.046 with the assumption that the value of X1 is fixed. The coefficient of negative value means that there is a negative or opposite between the selfefficacy variable (X2) and the academic procrastination variable (Y) which means that if selfefficacy (X2) increases, then academic procrastination (Y) will decrease and vice versa, if academic procrastination (Y) increases, then self-efficacy (X2) will decrease.

The results of the partial test or T test on the self-efficacy variable obtained $T_{count} = 4.201$ and $T_{table} = 1.975$ with T-test carried out using a significance level of 0.05. So it can be concluded that there is an influence between the self-efficacy variable (X2) and the academic procrastination variable (Y) partially. The results of this study are in line with the results of research conducted by Muti'ah (2013) who said that there was a significant influence between self-efficacy and academic procrastination on students. This can be seen from the level of confidence of students in understanding the material and dealing with academic situations, so that it can affect the level of academic procrastination.

Similar to previous research conducted by Herawati and Suyahya (2019) the results of the study were that there was an influence between self-efficacy on academic procrastination. Then, Tuaputimain (2021) in research using correlation analysis techniques, found that there is a negative relationship on self-efficacy with academic procrastination. That is, when students have a high level of efficacy, the level of academic procrastination will be low and vice versa. If the level of self-efficacy is low, then the level of academic procrastination will increase.

In line with the results of research conducted by Damri, Engkizar, and Fuady (2017b) who obtained the results of the research that there was a negative and significant relationship between self-efficacy and academic procrastination. Where self-efficacy has a high level, the level of academic procrastination will be low. Vice versa, if self-efficacy is low, the level of academic procrastination will be high.

3. The Effect of Learning Motivation and Self-Efficacy on Academic Procrastination

Based on the results of the research described above, it was found that learning motivation and self-efficacy have a simultaneous or joint effect on academic procrastination in class XI students of SMKN 14 Jakarta which was tested using the f test by comparing Fcount with Ftable at a significance level of 5%. . In the f test, this study obtained the results that F_{Count} 28.669 > F_{Table} 3.05 and a significance of 0.00 < 0.05 which means that there is a simultaneous and significant influence between Learning Motivation (X2) and Self-Efficacy (X2) on Academic Procrastination (Y).

These results are in line with the results of research conducted by Maulidia (2020) which obtained the results that self-efficacy and learning motivation affect academic procrastination. Then, the results of research conducted by Yuniarti (2020) also stated the results that there was a concurrent and significant influence between self-efficacy and motivation on academic procrastination. In the research conducted by Malkoç and Mutlu (2018) and Sariwulan and Pujiastuti (2019) obtained the results of research that between learning motivation and self-efficacy has a significant influence on academic procrastination.

In multiple regression analysis, obtaining the equation that is = 9.582 + 0.428 X1 + 0.046 X2, it can be interpreted that the constant value is 9.582. If the variable of learning motivation (X1) and self-efficacy (X2) is 0, then the value of the coefficient of academic procrastination is 9.582. The value of the learning motivation coefficient (X1) is 0.428 and if it increases by 1 value, it will increase the value of the academic procrastination variable (Y)

by 0.428 with the value of (X2) being fixed. The coefficient is positive, which means that there is a positive or unidirectional relationship between the learning motivation variable (X1) and the academic procrastination variable (Y).

The value of the self-efficacy coefficient (X2) is -0.046 and if it increases by 1 value, it will decrease the value of the academic procrastination variable (Y) by 0.046 with the value of (X1) is fixed. The coefficient is negative, which means that there is a negative or opposite relationship between the self-efficacy variable (X2) and the academic procrastination variable (Y), which means that if self-efficacy (X2) increases, then academic procrastination (Y) will decrease and vice versa, if procrastination increases. academic achievement (Y) increases, then self-efficacy (X2) will decrease.

Then, in the coefficient of determination test, the result is 0.266, which means that the percentage of learning motivation and self-efficacy has an effect on academic procrastination by 26.6%, while the rest can be influenced by other variables not examined in this study.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclution

Based on the results that has been carried out by researchers with data descriptions for each variable, data analysis and discussions that have been described in the previous chapter, it can be concluded that:

- 1. There is a positive and significant influence between learning motivation and academic procrastination for class XI students of SMKN 14 Jakarta. That is, the higher the Learning Motivation, the higher the academic procrastination.
- 2. There is a negative and significant influence between Self-Efficacy and Academic Procrastination of class XI students of SMKN 14 Jakarta. That is, the higher the self-efficacy, the lower the academic procrastination.
- 3. There is a joint influence between Learning Motivation and Self-Efficacy with Academic Procrastination which can be seen from the F_{Count} 28.669 > F_{Table} 3.05 which means that learning motivation and self-efficacy simultaneously on Academic Procrastination.

Recommendations

Based on the conclusions that have been explained by the researchers, the researchers will provide several recommendations for further research as useful reference and reference materials, as follows:

- 1. For further researchers who will research with a similar topic, it is advisable to use other variables that are predicted to have an influence on academic procrastination.
- 2. Can focus on one education major, if you take the subject of SMK level students.
- 3. For further researchers, they can add objects and indicators to their research so that the research results are more varied.

CLOSING

Based on the findings of the authors and the discussion that has been described, it can be concluded that:

- 1. That financial literacy can determine the resistance of MSME activities in the Rawamangun sub-district, East Jakarta. It turns out that not only determines the resistance of MSME activities, but financial literacy can also be a factor for business development. As has been explained, this is not the only factor in the resistance and development of MSMEs, but is one of the main factors. Researchers examine the financial literacy possessed by every MSME actor and the results vary. Each informant has a different level of financial literacy. However, if the SMEs in Rawamangun, East Jakarta are averaged, they have a less literate level of financial literacy.
- 2. The decision of the perpetrators of MSME activities in the Rawamangun sub-district, East Jakarta to adopt *E-Wallet* as a payment tool is a factor of trust, convenience, security and speed. In addition to this, the costs that should be incurred by the MSME actors will not exist to enjoy the services of this *E-Wallet*. The income gains obtained from the adoption of *E-Wallet* are also acknowledged to be true by these MSME actors. The factor that makes MSME actors not want to adopt *E-Wallet* as a means of payment is lack of knowledge, giving bad conclusions before using it (*underestimate*).
- 3. The decision of the perpetrators of MSME activities in the Rawamangun sub-district, East Jakarta to use QRIS as a payment aid is that there is no clear information about QRIS. The collaboration between PJSP and BI, which has been intensively promoting QRIS has not yet reached the Rawamangun area, East Jakarta, even though it is located close to the city center. In addition to this, the socialization that requires a process due to the recent introduction of QRIS to the public has made MSME actors not yet using this payment aid.

REFERENCES

- A'yunina, H., & Abdurrohim. (2019). Hubungan antara stres akademik dan motivasi berprestasi dengan prokrastinasi akademik pada mahasiswa Universitas Islam Sultan Agung Semarang. Prosiding Konferensi Ilmiah Mahasiswa Unissula, 2019(2), 889–897.
- Ardiansyah, Y. P. (2019). Hubungan antara motivasi belajar dengan prokrastinasi akademik mahasiswa Fakultas Psikologi UIN Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang (Issue April) [UIN Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang]. http://etheses.uin-malang.ac.id/id/eprint/17421
- CAroline Senecal, Richard Koestner, R. J. V. (1995). 1995_SenecalKoestnerVallerand_JSP.pdf. In *The Journal of Social Psychology* (Vol. 135, Issue 5, pp. 607–619).
- Cerino, E. S. (2014). Relationships between academic motivation, self-efficacy, and academic procrastination. *Psi Chi Journal of Psychological Research*, 19(4), 156–163. https://doi.org/10.24839/2164-8204.jn19.4.156

- Damri, D., Engkizar, E., & Anwar, F. (2017a). Hubungan self-efficacy dan prokrastinasi akademik mahasiswa dalam menyelesaikan tugas perkuliahan. JURNAL EDUKASI: Jurnal Bimbingan Konseling, 3(1), 74. https://doi.org/10.22373/je.v3i1.1415
- Damri, D., Engkizar, E., & Anwar, F. (2017b). Hubungan self-efficacy dan prokrastinasi akademik mahasiswa dalam menyelesaikan tugas perkuliahan. JURNAL EDUKASI: Jurnal Bimbingan Konseling, 3(1), 74–95.
- Ghufron, M. N., & Risnawita, R. S. (2010). *Teori teori psikologi* (R. Kusumaningrati (ed.); 1st ed.). Ar-Ruzz Media.
- Gracelyta, T., & Harlina, H. (2021). Tingkat prokrastinasi akademik siswa di masa pademi Covid-19. Jurnal Konseling Komprehensif: Kajian Teori Dan Praktik Bimbingan Dan Konseling, 8(1), 46–54. https://repository.unsri.ac.id/48850/
- Handoyo, A. W., Afiati, E., Khirun, D. Y., & Prabowo, A. S. (2020). Prokrastinasi akademik mahasiswa selama pembelajaran daring. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan FKIP*, 3(1), 355–361.
- Herawati, M., & Suyahya, I. (2019). Pengaruh efikasi diri terhadap prokrastinasi akademik peserta didik SMK Islam Ruhama. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan KALUNI*, 2(Januari), 646–655. https://doi.org/10.30998/prokaluni.v2i0.148
- Herdian, H. (2021). The phenomenon of academic procrastination in students during pandemic. International Journal of Social Science and Human Research, 4(8), 2066–2072. https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v4-i8-15
- Huda, M. J. (2015). Perbandingan prokrastinasi akademik menurut pilahan jenis kelamin di UIN Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta. *Palastren*, *8*(2), 423–438. journal.stainkudus.ac.id
- Ilyas, M., & Suryadi. (2017). Perilaku prokrastinasi akademik siswa di SMA Islam Terpadu. *Jurnal An- Nida*', 41(1), 71–82.
- Lubis, I. S. L. (2018). Hubungan regulasi diri dalam belajar dan efikasi diri dengan prokrastinasi akademik mahasiswa. *Jurnal Diversita*, 4(2), 90. https://doi.org/10.31289/diversita.v4i2.1884
- Malkoç, A., & Mutlu, A. K. (2018). Academic self-efficacy and academic procrastination: Exploring the mediating role of academic motivation in Turkish university students. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 6(10), 2087–2093. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2018.061005

- Margareta, R. S., & Wahyudin, A. (2019). Pengaruh motivasi belajar, perfeksionisme dan keaktifan berorganisasi terhadap prokrastinasi akademik dengan regulasi diri sebagai variabel moderating. *Economic Education Analysis Journal*, 8(1), 79–94. http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/eeaj%0APENGARUH
- Margianto, H. (2020, April 30). Dampak pandemi Covid-19 pada pendidikan kedokteran Indonesia. Kompas.Com, 1. https://www.kompas.com/tren/read/2020/04/30/105540565/dampak-pandemi-covid-19pada-pendidikan-kedokteran-indonesia?page=all
- Maulidia, J. (2020). Effect of self-efficacy, learning motivation, fear of failure and parent's social support towards academic procrastination. *Elsevier*, 1(1), 17. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3510145
- Melgaard, J., Monir, R., Lasrado, L. A., & Fagerstrøm, A. (2021). Academic procrastination and online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Procedia Computer Science*, 196(2021), 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2021.11.080
- Muti'ah, N. (2013). Peran belajar berdasar regulasi diri, dukungan sosial keluarga dan efikasi diri terhadap prokrastinasi akademik. *Universitas Ahmad Dahlan Yogyakarta*, 2013(6), 1–29.
- Muyana, S. (2018). Prokrastinasi akademik dikalangan mahasiswa program studi bimbingan dan konseling. *Counsellia: Jurnal Bimbingan Dan Konseling, 8*(1), 45. https://doi.org/10.25273/counsellia.v8i1.1868
- Nitami, M., Daharnis, D., & Yusri, Y. (2015). Hubungan motivasi belajar dengan prokrastinasi akademik siswa. *Konselor*, 4(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.24036/02015416449-0-00
- Patrzek, J., Grunschel, C., & Fries, S. (2012). Academic procrastination: The perspective of University counsellors. International Journal for the Advancement of Counselling, 34(3), 185–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10447-012-9150-z

Prihartanta, W. (2015). Teori-Teori Motivasi. Jurnal Adabiya, 1(83).

- Rahmi, A. A. (2019). Pengaruh motivasi belajar dan efikasi diri terhadap regulasi belajar santri di Pondok Pesantren Ihya' Ulumuddin Samarinda. *Psikoborneo*, 7(1), 255–265.
- Sariwulan, T., & Pujiastuti, F. (2019). The impact of self-efficacy, learning motivation, and procrastination on academic achievement of students Faculty of Economics Jakarta State University. *ECONOSAINS: Economics and Education Online Journal*, 17(2), 32–44.

Stevani, P., & Marwan, M. (2021). Pengaruh fasilitas belajar dan motivasi belajar terhadap prokrastinasi akademik dan hasil belajar ekonomi siswa. *Jurnal Ecogen*, 4(1), 120. https://doi.org/10.24036/jmpe.v4i1.11044

Sugiyono, D. (2013). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan Tindakan.

- Suhadianto, S., Arifiana, I. Y., Rahmawati, H., Hanurawan, F., & Eva, N. (2021). Stop academic procrastination during Covid 19: Academic procrastination reduces subjective well-being. *KnE* Social Sciences, 2020(4), 312–325. https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v4i15.8220
- Tuaputimain, H. (2021). Korelasi antara efikasi diri dengan prokrastinasi akademik di kalangan mahasiswa. *Jurnal Teologi Berita Hidup*, 4(1), 6. ejournal.sttberitahidup.ac.id/index.php/jbh
- Turmudi, I., & Suryadi. (2021). Manajemen perilaku prokrastinasi akademik. *Al-Tazkiah*, *10*(1), 39–58.
- Yulianda, M., & Syofyan, R. (2018). Pengaruh motivasi belajar, teman sebaya terhadap prokratinasi akademik dan prestasi belajar mahasiswa Pendidikan Ekonomi Universitas Negeri Padang. Jurnal Ecogen, 1(2), 450. https://doi.org/10.24036/jmpe.v1i2.4767
- Yuniarti, A. D. (2020). Influence of self-efficacy, academic motivation and school environment on academic procrastination. *Elsevier*, 148, 148–162. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3636751