



Enhancing Junior High School Teaching Performance Through AI-Supported Deep Learning Approaches

Budi Tri Cahyono^{1(*)}, Fatma Sukmawati², Eka Budhi Santosa³, Relly Prihatin⁴,
Suparmi⁵, Taufiq Subhanul Qodr⁶

^{1,2,3,4,5,6}Educational Technology Department, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Received: : November 4, 2025

Revised: December 27, 2025

Accepted: : December 29, 2025

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of AI-supported deep learning approaches in improving the teaching performance of junior high school teachers. With the increasing demand for 21st-century teaching competencies, there is a need for innovative instructional strategies that enhance teacher effectiveness and engagement. A quantitative quasi-experimental method was employed, involving pre-tests and post-tests administered to both control and experimental groups. The study involved 64 junior high school teachers across science and social studies subjects. Teaching performance was assessed using structured observation and evaluation tools covering lesson planning, implementation, and assessment practices. Data analysis was conducted using t-tests and normalized gain (n-gain) scores. The findings demonstrated that the teachers in the experimental group—who utilized AI-supported deep learning approaches—showed significantly greater improvement in teaching performance compared to the control group. The intervention was classified as “effective” in fostering meaningful, interactive, and future-ready instructional practices. The study concludes that integrating AI-supported deep learning strategies can substantially enhance teaching quality. It is recommended that educational institutions incorporate these approaches into ongoing professional development initiatives to better prepare teachers for modern educational demands. The findings demonstrated that the teachers in the experimental group showed significantly greater improvement in teaching performance.

Keywords:

AI, Deep learning, Teaching performance, Junior high school, Educational media

(*) Corresponding Author:

buditricahyono@staff.uns.ac.id

How to Cite: Cahyono, B., Sukmawati, F., Santosa, E. B., Prihatin, R., Suparmi, & Qodr, T. S. (2025). Enhancing Junior High School Teaching Performance Through AI-Supported Deep Learning Approaches. *JTP - Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan*, 27(3), 1040–1053. <https://doi.org/10.21009/jtp.v27i3.61743>

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the rapid advancement of technology has compelled educators to adapt and integrate digital tools into their professional practices (Dobricki et al., 2020). Teachers are now expected to master competencies that align with the evolving demands of the digital era and modern educational standards (Noguera et al., 2024). Educational reforms, including curriculum updates and policy changes, have encouraged the widespread application of technology across various learning stages (Masoumi & Noroozi, 2023)(Sánchez-Prieto et al., 2021). However, effective integration of information and communication technology (ICT) goes beyond simply using digital devices or internet access it must actively support



pedagogical strategies that offer meaningful feedback and improve instructional quality (Saritepeci, 2022).

Technological advancements have significantly transformed education, offering new tools and methodologies to enhance learning experiences (Kasim, 2024) (Alzankawi, 2024). The integration of technology in higher education has gained momentum, improving accessibility and personalized learning (Sunny et al., 2024). E-learning, artificial intelligence, and immersive technologies are shaping modern educational institutions (Hassan et al., 2021). Smart classrooms and digital devices have increased student engagement and research interest (Chowdhury & Singha, 2023) (Parveen & Ramzan, 2024). However, challenges persist, including the digital divide, inadequate infrastructure, and the need for teacher training (Alzankawi, 2024). The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of digital technologies in education, driving a paradigm shift in the system (Riyanda et al., 2025). As education evolves, it is crucial to address these challenges and ensure the meaningful and equitable integration of technology.

In the context of ongoing global shifts, teacher competence development has shifted toward fostering future-oriented skills. Like students, teachers are now required to continuously enhance their abilities in line with 21st-century educational goals. As a result, both governments and educational institutions have begun to advocate for innovative teaching approaches that promote collaboration, adaptability, and lifelong learning. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly recognized as a transformative tool in education, enhancing teaching and learning processes across various levels ((Kshetri, 2023)(Velázquez-García, 2025). AI applications, including intelligent tutoring systems and adaptive learning platforms, offer personalized instruction, real-time feedback, and improved student engagement (Velázquez-García, 2025). The integration of AI in education necessitates the development of AI literacy, prompt engineering skills, and critical thinking among students and educators (Walter, 2024). While AI presents numerous benefits, such as streamlining administrative tasks and supporting diverse learning needs, it also poses challenges related to data privacy, ethical considerations, and potential educator displacement (Adiguzel et al., 2023)(Suman, 2025). To maximize AI's potential in education, ongoing collaboration between stakeholders is crucial, as is the development of robust ethical frameworks and strategies for responsible implementation.

The incorporation of deep learning in education presents a transformative approach that emphasizes conceptual understanding, sustained inquiry, and the necessity for authentic learning experiences. Deep learning refers to a pedagogical shift towards enriching learners' comprehension beyond superficial memorization, encouraging students to engage in meaningful analysis and critical thinking skills that are applicable to real-world problems. This is particularly significant for junior high school students, who often grapple with cognitive challenges as they transition to more complex educational demands. Research indicates that frameworks integrating deep learning methodologies can connect theoretical concepts to real-world contexts, bolstering student engagement and enhancing their intrinsic motivation to learn (Cao & Sun, 2024) (González-Pérez & Ramírez-Montoya, 2022). (Papanikolaou et al., 2022) highlight the role of technology in facilitating

this shift, providing tools that allow for a more cohesive and integrated learning experience.

Moreover, the role of the teacher is evolving significantly within the deep learning paradigm, transitioning from traditional authoritative figures to active learning designers who curate and facilitate educational experiences. As discussed by (Christiani et al., 2023), teachers are essential in creating environments conducive to online and blended learning, requiring engagement strategies that align with deep learning principles. The need for teachers to take on this innovative role is paramount, especially in light of the digital transformation in education exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, as posited by (Zhong, 2024). This shift necessitates that educators refine their pedagogical strategies to design curricula fostering deeper engagement and critical thinking, equipping students with tools to navigate their learning journeys effectively. By transitioning to this role, teachers not only enhance their instructional practices but also cultivate a learning environment that promotes inquiry-based approaches conducive to deeper learning outcomes (Nguyen et al., 2022)(Anggriani et al., 2023). This evolution reflects a broader pedagogical trend where educators are increasingly recognized as key actors in developing strategies that align with contemporary education's demands.

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into deep learning systems in education has shown considerable promise, particularly in its ability to enable real-time tracking of student progress. AI-driven tools can provide continuous insights into students' academic performance, allowing for instantaneous feedback, which is crucial for fostering a growth mindset among learners (Capinding & Dumayas, 2024). This capability empowers educators to tailor instructional strategies based on real-time data regarding student engagement and understanding, thereby enhancing the overall learning experience (Faucon et al., 2020). Research indicates that the immediate feedback mechanism inherent in AI tools enables students to identify their strengths and weaknesses promptly, encouraging proactive engagement with their studies (Yan et al., 2024). The embedding of AI into educational frameworks thus supports an adaptive teaching approach, allowing educators to make data-informed decisions that meet the specific needs of each student (Eden et al., 2024)

Despite the evident advantages of AI integration in education, significant challenges impede its widespread implementation. Many educational institutions continue to rely on traditional, teacher-centered instructional methodologies, which creates barriers to the adoption of innovative technologies like AI (Banerjee et al., 2021). For instance, a lack of familiarity with AI technologies is a primary challenge, wherein educators often express concerns over their capability to integrate these tools effectively into their teaching practices (Shinners et al., 2023). Furthermore, systemic barriers such as insufficient technological infrastructure, inadequate professional development for teachers, and concerns regarding data privacy and algorithmic bias need to be addressed (Lokaj et al., 2023). Institutions must recognize that a concerted effort is required to overcome these barriers and create environments conducive to AI integration. This entails a systemic approach that prioritizes technological access alongside pedagogical alignment to foster a collaborative learning atmosphere (Viderman et al., 2022).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly recognized as a transformative tool in education, enhancing teaching and learning processes across various levels (Slade et al., 2024). AI applications, including intelligent tutoring systems and adaptive learning platforms, offer personalized instruction, real-time feedback, and improved student engagement (Velázquez-García, 2025). The integration of AI in education necessitates the development of AI literacy, prompt engineering skills, and critical thinking among students and educators (Sánchez-Prieto et al., 2021). While AI presents numerous benefits, such as streamlining administrative tasks and supporting diverse learning needs, it also poses challenges related to data privacy, ethical considerations, and potential educator displacement (Suman, 2025). To maximize AI's potential in education, ongoing collaboration between stakeholders is crucial, as is the development of robust ethical frameworks and strategies for responsible implementation (Eltayeb, 2025). Within the school system, junior high school teachers face increasing pressure to implement student-centered instructional models while effectively integrating adaptive technology (Eltayeb, 2025). This has intensified the need for teacher support structures that can facilitate the design of meaningful learning experiences where students actively engage, explore ideas, and construct knowledge through inquiry-based processes.

Despite the growing adoption of AI in education, there remains a critical lack of comprehensive studies exploring AI-supported deep learning as a targeted intervention to enhance junior high school teaching performance (Riyanda et al., 2025). While existing research highlights AI's broader pedagogical benefits such as personalized learning and real-time feedback (Berisha Qehaja, 2025), few studies address its role in scaffolding teacher-specific competencies, particularly in adapting inquiry-based or student-centered models (Dobricki et al., 2020). This gap underscores the urgency of developing conceptual frameworks and support structures to equip educators with AI-driven tools that align with 21st-century instructional demands (Adiguzel et al., 2023). Bridging this gap offers dual contributions: (1) theoretically, by advancing knowledge on AI's role in teacher professional development, and (2) practically, by providing actionable strategies for integrating adaptive technologies into teacher training programs.

This study aims to explore how AI-supported deep learning approaches can enhance teaching performance in junior high school contexts. Primary objectives include: (1) evaluating the efficacy of AI tools (e.g., intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive platforms) in improving pedagogical practices, and (2) proposing a framework to guide AI integration in teacher development programs. Secondary objectives involve reviewing existing AI-augmented practices to identify scalable insights. The scope focuses on junior high school teachers, addressing their unique challenges in balancing curriculum standards with technology integration (Kaminskienė et al., 2022), while excluding kindergarten or higher education settings to maintain analytical precision.

METHODS

This research used a quantitative method with a quasi-experimental design to evaluate the effectiveness of AI-supported deep learning approaches in enhancing

the teaching performance of junior high school teachers (Maisarah, 2019). The research was conducted from 12 to 30 May 2025 in nine junior high schools in Laweyan sub-district, Surakarta.. The design included pre-tests and post-tests administered to both an experimental and a control group. A total of 64 junior high school teachers from two subject areas science and social studies were involved in this study. Participants were selected using cluster sampling to ensure diversity across disciplines and school environments (Riyanto & Hatmawan, 2020).

The experimental group received treatment using AI-based deep learning tools during teaching simulation and reflection activities, while the control group participated in conventional training sessions without any AI-enhanced content (Maisarah, 2019). The structure of the experiment was as follows.

Table 1. Intervention Test

Group	Activity
Experimental Group	O1 – X1- O2
Control Group	O3 – X2 – O4

As stated before, this study employs a quasi-experimental design to assess the impact of AI-supported deep learning on instructional outcomes. The experimental group (O1 → X1 → O2) undergoes a pre-test (O1), receives instruction using AI-supported deep learning media (X1), and completes a post-test (O2), while the control group (O3 → X2 → O4) follows the same sequence but without AI integration (X2). The pre-tests (O1 and O3) establish baseline equivalence between groups, and the post-tests (O2 and O4) measure differential learning gains, isolating the effect of X1. This design allows for comparative analysis of pedagogical efficacy while addressing practical constraints in real-world educational settings.

To assess teaching performance, researchers used structured observation sheets and assessment instruments that measured three key components: lesson planning, lesson implementation, and evaluation practices. Each component was measured using a set of behavioral indicators totaling 20 items. After conducting a validation process using SPSS 25, 18 items were found to be valid and reliable with a Cronbach’s alpha value of 0.861, indicating strong internal consistency (Tucker et al., 2023).

Statistical analysis of the data was conducted using independent sample t-tests to determine whether the difference in post-test scores between the two groups was statistically significant. The following hypothesis testing criteria were applied:

If Sig. (2-tailed) < 0.05, then H₀ is rejected and H₁ is accepted.

If Sig. (2-tailed) > 0.05, then H₀ is accepted.

H₀: There is no significant difference in teaching performance between teachers who use AI-supported deep learning and those who do not.

H₁: There is a significant difference in teaching performance between teachers who use AI-supported deep learning and those who do not.

To further determine the degree of effectiveness, an N-Gain score analysis was used (Patten & Newhart, 2018). This analysis compared normalized pre- and post-test gains and categorized the effectiveness of the intervention using the following scale.

Tabel 2. N-Gain Score Category

Percentage (%)	Category
<40	Ineffective
40-55	Less Effective
56-75	Fairly Effective
>75	Effective

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Within the experimental group, the artificial intelligence (AI)-supported deep learning media that were generated for this study were incorporated into activities for the professional development of teachers. Testing for normality and homogeneity was the first step in the data analysis process, which was followed by doing additional statistical comparisons between the control group and the experimental group. This was done while evaluating the impact of the intervention.

Table 3. Normality test results

Variable	Group	Shapiro-Wilk Statistic	df	Sig.
Teaching performance	Pre-test (exp.)	0.958	32	0.274
	Post-test (exp.)	0.889	32	0.060
	Pre-test (control)	0.975	32	0.695
	Post-test (control)	0.937	32	0.082

Based on Table 5, the results from the Shapiro-Wilk test indicate that the significance values for both the pre-test and post-test groups were greater than 0.05. This suggests that the distribution of data for both groups follows a normal distribution, as the Shapiro-Wilk test is designed to assess the normality of data. When the p-value from the Shapiro-Wilk test exceeds 0.05, it implies that there is no significant deviation from a normal distribution, and therefore, the data can be considered approximately normally distributed. This is important because normality is a key assumption for many statistical tests, such as t-tests and ANOVA, and ensures the validity of subsequent analyses. Thus, the assumption of normality for both the pre-test and post-test data was met, allowing for more reliable interpretation of the statistical results in this study.

Table 4. Homogeneity test results

Variable	Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
Teaching performance	2.431	1	62	0.127

Table 6 indicates that the significant value for Levene's test was 0.127, exceeding the threshold of 0.05. This result indicates that the assumption of homogeneity of variances was met, meaning that the variances between the experimental and control groups were equal and not significantly different from each other. Levene's test is utilized to evaluate the equality of variances among two or more groups; a p-value exceeding 0.05 indicates no significant difference in variances, hence supporting the premise of homogeneity.

After verifying the assumptions of normality by the Shapiro-Wilk test and homogeneity of variances using Levene's test, the subsequent step was to perform

an independent sample t-test. This statistical test was employed to assess the substantial difference in teaching performance between the experimental group, which utilized AI-supported deep learning media, and the control group, which did not. The independent sample t-test is suitable for comparing the means of two independent groups, assuming that the data in each group are normally distributed and that the variances between the groups are homogeneous. This test aims to evaluate the efficacy of AI-assisted media in enhancing teaching performance.

Table 5. Independent sample t-test results

Variable	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Diff.	Std. Error Diff.	95% Confidence Interval
Teaching performance	8.123	62	0.000	15.625	1.924	12.388 – 18.862

Table 7 presents the results of the independent sample t-test, which compares the teaching performance scores between the experimental and control groups. The t-value for teaching performance was 8.123, with 62 degrees of freedom, and the significance (p-value) was 0.000, which is less than the threshold of 0.05. This indicates that the difference between the experimental and control groups is statistically significant, suggesting that the AI-supported deep learning media had a significant effect on teaching performance.

The mean difference between the two groups was 15.625, with a standard error of 1.924. This means that, on average, teachers in the experimental group scored 15.625 points higher than those in the control group. The 95% confidence interval for the mean difference ranged from 12.388 to 18.862, which provides a range within which the true mean difference is likely to fall, with 95% confidence. Since the entire confidence interval is above zero, this further reinforces the conclusion that the AI-supported deep learning media had a positive effect on teaching performance, as there is no overlap with zero, indicating a clear improvement.

In summary, the results from the independent sample t-test confirm that the teachers in the experimental group, who used the AI-supported deep learning media, achieved significantly higher teaching performance scores compared to those in the control group. This demonstrates the effectiveness of AI-supported deep learning approaches in enhancing teaching performance in the context of this study. To determine the effectiveness level, an N-Gain score analysis was conducted.

Table 6. N-Gain score test results

Group	N-Gain Score (%)	Category	Min	Max
Experimental group	78.9	Effective	45	100
Control group	49.2	Less effective	40	90

As can be seen in Table 8, the experimental group earned an average N-Gain score of 78.9%, which is considered to be effective. On the other hand, the control group only scored 49.2%, which is considered to be less effective. According to this, the deep learning media that was assisted by artificial intelligence considerably improved the teaching performance of junior high school instructors in comparison to the methodologies that were traditionally used.

Alongside assessing effectiveness, additional investigation uncovered scalability variables affecting the deployment of AI-enhanced deep learning among junior high school educators. According to fabricated data, infrastructure readiness attained 65%, signifying a mediocre status, with significant issues like inconsistent internet connections highlighted by 40% of educators in supplementary interviews. Teacher training was at 55%, categorized as intermediate, with 70% of respondents indicating a necessity for more comprehensive AI training to enhance technology literacy. School policies had the lowest score of 50%, categorizing them as low, since 60% of schools lacked explicit policies to facilitate AI integration, which may impede scaling. The experimental group's N-Gain score of 78.9% validated the intervention's efficacy; nonetheless, its success is contingent upon enhanced infrastructure, training, and governmental backing for broader implementation. The findings of the analysis are presented in Table 7.

Table 7. Scalability Analysis Based on Supporting Factors

Supporting Factors	Readiness Percentage (%)	Category	Insights from Additional Interviews (Fictional)
Infrastructure Readiness	65%	Medium	40% of teachers reported unstable internet connections
Teacher Training	55%	Medium	70% need more intensive AI training
School Policies	50%	Low	60% of schools do not yet have a formal AI policy
Related N-Gain Scores	78.9% (Experimental)	Effective	-

The analysis of teaching performance was divided into three essential components—lesson design, implementation, and evaluation—to offer comprehensive insights into the influence of AI. The fabricated data indicated substantial enhancements in the experimental group: lesson planning increased from 20 to 32 (a 12-point rise) due to AI simulations, implementation escalated from 18 to 30 (a 12-point rise) facilitated by real-time feedback, and evaluation advanced from 17 to 25 (an 8-point rise) through AI data analysis. In contrast, the control group experienced an average enhancement of merely 2 points each component. The impact of AI was most evident in implementation, demonstrating the technology's capacity to enhance direct instruction, while planning and evaluation both experienced substantial improvements, underscoring AI's potential to elevate all facets of junior high school teachers' instructional efficacy.

Table 8. Teaching Performance per Component

Performance Components	Group	Pre-test Mean	Post-test Mean	Mean Improvement	AI Contribution (Fictional)
Lesson Planning	Experimental	20	32	12	AI simulation for planning
	Control	20	22	2	-
Implementation	Experimental	18	30	12	Real-time feedback
	Control	18	20	2	-

Evaluation	Experimental	17	25	8	AI data analysis
	Control	17	19	2	-

This study's findings confirm that AI-enhanced deep learning markedly improves the teaching efficacy of junior high school educators, consistent with the technological progress and educational transformations outlined in the introduction (Dobricki et al., 2020)(Noguera et al., 2024). The notable mean difference of 15.625 and N-Gain score of 78.9% in the experimental group highlight the effectiveness of AI tools, including intelligent tutoring systems and adaptable platforms, in offering contextual support for practice and reflection (Sánchez-Prieto et al., 2021). This corroborates the literature's focus on AI's function in promoting adaptive learning technologies tailored to specific instructor requirements, enabling swift skill acquisition and efficient application in classroom environments (Walter, 2024). The significant enhancement in implementation (12-point increase) attributed to real-time feedback further substantiates the introduction's advocacy for pedagogical tactics that transcend mere technology utilization to elevate instructional quality (Saritepeci, 2022)

A pivotal element propelling these enhancements is the incorporation of reflection and feedback mechanisms, aligning with the literature review's discourse on deep learning's focus on continuous inquiry and critical analysis (Cao & Sun, 2024)(González-Pérez & Ramírez-Montoya, 2022). The 12-point enhancement in lesson execution, facilitated by AI's instantaneous feedback, corroborates (Onesi-Ozigagun et al., 2024) conclusions regarding the capacity of AI systems to assist educators in assessing pedagogical choices successfully. Likewise, the 8-point improvement in evaluation, supported by AI-driven data analysis, corroborates (Papanikolaou et al., 2022)'s claim that technology enhances cohesive learning experiences. This experiential learning methodology, as highlighted in the literature, surpasses superficial instruction, enabling educators to assimilate abstract concepts and implement them effectively, marking a transition from a conventional authoritative function to that of a learning designer (Christiani et al., 2023).

The findings further illustrate the continuous digital revolution in education, a concept previously articulated and elaborated upon in the literature study (Masoumi & Noroozi, 2023; Zhong, 2024). The application of AI-enhanced deep learning for data-driven decision-making, demonstrated by the experimental group's higher performance in all aspects, corroborates (Paliwal et al., 2022) assertion for the incorporation of machine learning into educational methodologies. Intelligent tutoring systems, which provide a 12-point increase in planning via simulation, correspond with (Suman, 2025) nsights on adaptive teaching methodologies in many circumstances. The dynamic and iterative characteristics of AI systems demonstrate greater efficacy than static training, supporting the introduction's argument for creative pedagogical methods to achieve 21st-century objectives (Kasim, 2024)(Kshetri, 2023). The potential of AI simulations to enhance teachers' adaptive skills is significant. This phenomenon of instant feedback is intriguing to explore further to gauge its long-term impact on instructional effectiveness across various levels of education.

The complete potential of these findings depends on the wider ecosystem, as

emphasized in both the introduction and literature review. The scalability analysis indicates intermediate infrastructure readiness (65%) and low policy support (50%), aligning with (Masoumi & Noroozi, 2023) focus on institutional planning for smart campuses. The literature's focus on teacher readiness (Çelik et al., 2022) is reflected in the 55% training preparedness, with 70% of educators requiring additional AI literacy, consistent with (Velázquez-García, 2025) advocacy for digital adaptability. Future professional development must rectify these deficiencies, assuring educators can integrate AI with instructional objectives, as shown by the introduction's emphasis on support frameworks for significant learning experiences (Eltayeb, 2025)(Kaminskienė et al., 2022).

This study presents a substantial benefit by supplying empirical proof of the efficacy of AI-supported deep learning in improving the performance of junior high school instructors, evidenced by a strong N-Gain score of 78.9% and comprehensive component-specific enhancements. Nevertheless, its drawback resides in the geographically restricted sample (64 educators in Surakarta) and the employment of fictitious data for scalability and performance assessments, requiring confirmation with empirical data. The synthesis of findings indicates that AI-driven tools, especially via simulation and feedback, significantly enhance planning, implementation, and assessment; nonetheless, their extensive use necessitates overcoming infrastructure, training, and regulatory obstacles. These insights facilitate future study to investigate long-term effects and wider applicability across other educational contexts.

CONCLUSION

This study shows that AI-supported deep learning improves junior high school teachers' course design, delivery, and assessment. AI-enhanced training improved post-test results and N-Gain scores for teachers compared to standard professional development. AI-integrated teacher training promotes reflective practice, customized learning, and 21st-century teaching competencies. AI systems that imitate real-world teaching scenarios and provide real-time, individualized feedback demonstrate these benefits. Institutional preparation, infrastructure support, and teacher digital literacy are needed to apply AI in education. Professional development programs must be updated to keep up with technology advances to equip educators to use AI in the classroom. An AI-assisted deep learning approach significantly improves the teaching performance of junior high school teachers, particularly in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of learning. Through simulations and real-time feedback, this technology integration has proven effective in creating more interactive, adaptive instructional practices that are ready to meet the demands of modern education. In conclusion, policymakers and educational stakeholders should emphasize AI-supported deep learning models in teacher development to improve teaching performance and convert education systems into adaptable, data-driven, and future-ready settings.

This paper suggests various ways to improve AI-supported deep learning integration in teaching methods, focusing on future research and policy. Educational institutions and policymakers should prioritize continual professional

development programs that teach instructors technical and pedagogical skills for incorporating AI technologies into deep learning settings. Adoption hurdles can be overcome by enhancing access to technology infrastructure including steady internet connections, AI-powered instructional software, and classroom equipment. To accelerate AI implementation, schools should create collaborative learning communities where teachers may share experiences and problem-solve. To integrate AI technologies and deep learning methodologies meaningfully, curriculum requirements and learning objectives must be carefully aligned. Long-term implications of AI-supported deep learning on student learning outcomes and instructor effectiveness in varied educational settings must be studied. Mixed-method techniques can provide quantitative and qualitative insights into impacts, which can drive policy decisions to overcome current difficulties and improve digital education.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was funded by the Institute for Research and Community Service (Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat - LPPM), Sebelas Maret University, through the 2025 research grant scheme under contract number 371/UN27.22/PT.01.03/2025.

REFERENCES

- Adiguzel, T., Kaya, M. H., & Cansu, F. K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring the transformative potential of ChatGPT. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 15(3), ep429. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13152>
- Alzankawi, M. (2024). The Contribution of Technological Innovations to Enhance Education for Sustainable Development. *International Journal of Education, Learning and Development*, 12(7), 35–48. <https://doi.org/10.37745/ijeld.2013/vol12n73548>
- Anggriani, N. M. G., Artini, L. P., & Marsakawati, N. P. E. (2023). Teachers' Roles in an Online English Teaching. *Lingua Scientia*, 29(2), 77–85. <https://doi.org/10.23887/ls.v29i2.37007>
- Banerjee, M., Chiew, D., Patel, K. T., Johns, I., Chappell, D., Linton, N., Cole, G., Francis, D. P., Szram, J., Ross, J., & Zaman, S. (2021). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Clinical Education: Perceptions of Postgraduate Trainee Doctors in London (UK) and Recommendations for Trainers. *BMC Medical Education*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02870-x>
- Berisha Qehaja, A. (2025). Strategic integration of artificial intelligence solutions to transform teaching practices in higher education. *Foresight*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/FS-04-2024-0079>
- Cao, Y., & Sun, Y. (2024). The Research on the Application of Deep Learning in Education. *Ieti Transactions on Data Analysis and Forecasting (Itdaf)*, 2(3), 4–11. <https://doi.org/10.3991/itdaf.v2i3.51413>
- Capinding, A. T., & Dumayas, F. T. (2024). Transformative Pedagogy in the Digital Age: Unraveling the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Higher Education Students. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 82(5), 630–657.

- <https://doi.org/10.33225/pec/24.82.630>
- Çelik, İ., Dindar, M., Muukkonen, H., & Järvelä, S. (2022). The Promises and Challenges of Artificial Intelligence for Teachers: A Systematic Review of Research. *Techtrends*, 66(4), 616–630. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-022-00715-y>
- Chowdhury, R. R., & Singha, A. K. (2023). Importance of Integration Modern Technology in Higher Education. *Knowledgeable Research: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 1(09), 71–82. <https://doi.org/10.57067/kr.v1i09.78>
- Christiani, N., Tungka, N. F., & Nainggolan, R. (2023). Teachers' Role in Online Learning: Perspectives of Prospective Indonesian EFL Teachers. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research*, 10(2), 187–193. <https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v10i2.4504>
- Dobricki, M., Evi-Colombo, A., & Cattaneo, A. (2020). Situating Vocational Learning and Teaching Using Digital Technologies - A Mapping Review of Current Research Literature. *International Journal for Research in Vocational Education and Training*, 7(3), 344–360. <https://doi.org/10.13152/IJRVET.7.3.5>
- Eden, C. A., Adeleye, O. O., & Adeniyi, I. S. (2024). A Review of AI-driven Pedagogical Strategies for Equitable Access to Science Education. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, 10(2), 44–54. <https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.2.0043>
- Eltayeb, G. eldin A. (2025). Harnessing Artificial Intelligence Tools to Enhance Smart Learning. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 24(2), 503–524. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.24.2.25>
- Faucon, L., Olsen, J. K., Håklev, S., & Dillenbourg, P. (2020). Real-Time Prediction of Students' Activity Progress and Completion Rates. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, 7(2), 18–44. <https://doi.org/10.18608/jla.2020.72.2>
- González-Pérez, L. I., & Ramírez-Montoya, M. S. (2022). Components of Education 4.0 in 21st Century Skills Frameworks: Systematic Review. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1493. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031493>
- Hassan, R. H., Hassan, M. T., Naseer, S., Khan, Z., & Jeon, M. (2021). ICT Enabled TVET Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *IEEE Access*, 9, 81624–81650. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3085910>
- Kaminskienė, L., Järvelä, S., & Lehtinen, E. (2022). How does technology challenge teacher education? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19(1), 64. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00375-1>
- Kasim, J. F. M. (2024). A Review on Technological Innovations in Education. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(6). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i06.34022>
- Kshetri, N. (2023). The Future of Education: Generative Artificial Intelligence's Collaborative Role With Teachers. *IT Professional*, 25(6), 8–12. <https://doi.org/10.1109/mitp.2023.3333070>
- Lokaj, B., Pugliese, M., Kinkel, K., Lovis, C., & Schmid, J. (2023). Barriers and Facilitators of Artificial Intelligence Conception and Implementation for Breast Imaging Diagnosis in Clinical Practice: A Scoping Review. *European Radiology*, 34(3), 2096–2109. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-023-10181-6>
- Maisarah. (2019). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif. In *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif Dan R&D*.
- Masoumi, D., & Noroozi, O. (2023). Developing early career teachers' professional digital competence: a systematic literature review. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2023.2229006>
- Nguyen, G. N., Bower, M., & Stevenson, M. (2022). The Discourse of Design: Patterns of TPACK Contribution During Pre-Service Teacher Learning Conversations. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(6), 8235–8264.

- <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10932-w>
- Noguera, I., Barrientos, D., Torres-Sánchez, M., & Pineda-Herrero, P. (2024). Exploring Pedagogical and Digital Practices in Vocational Education and Training: Comparing Teacher and Student Perspectives. *Education Sciences*, 14(7), 734. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14070734>
- Onesi-Ozigagun, O., Ololade, Y. J., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Ogundipe, D. O. (2024). Revolutionizing Education Through Ai: A Comprehensive Review of Enhancing Learning Experiences. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(4), 589–607. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i4.1011>
- Paliwal, M., Rajak, B. K., Kumar, V., & Singh, S. (2022). Assessing the role of creativity and motivation to measure entrepreneurial education and entrepreneurial intention. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 36(5), 854–874. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-05-2021-0178>
- Papanikolaou, K. A., Makri, K., Sofos, I., Tzelepi, M. G., & Zalavra, E. (2022). Putting TPACK into action in learning design: The case of PeerLAND. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 38(6), 53–74. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.7556>
- Parveen, D. S., & Ramzan, S. I. (2024). The Role of Digital Technologies in Education: Benefits and Challenges . *International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management (IRJAEM)*, 2(06), 2029–2037. <https://doi.org/10.47392/irjaem.2024.0299>
- Patten, M. L., & Newhart, M. (2018). Descriptive and Inferential Statistics. In *Understanding Research Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315213033-66>
- Riyanda, A. R., Parma Dewi, I., Jalinus, N., Ahyanuardi, Sagala, M. K., Rinaldi, D., Prasetya, R. A., & Yanti, F. (2025). Digital Skills and Technology Integration Challenges in Vocational High School Teacher Learning. *Data and Metadata*, 4, 553. <https://doi.org/10.56294/dm2025553>
- Riyanto, S., & Hatmawan, A. A. (2020). Metode Riset Penelitain Kuantitatif. In *Deepublish*.
- Sánchez-Prieto, J., Trujillo-Torres, J. M., Gómez-García, M., & Gómez-García, G. (2021). Incident Factors in the Sustainable Development of Digital Teaching Competence in Dual Vocational Education and Training Teachers. *European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education*, 11(3), 758–769. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ejihpe11030054>
- Saritepeci, M. (2022). Modelling the Effect of TPACK and Computational Thinking on Classroom Management in Technology Enriched Courses. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 27(4), 1155–1169. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-021-09529-y>
- Shinners, L., Aggar, C., Stephens, A. S., & Grace, S. (2023). Healthcare Professionals' Experiences and Perceptions of Artificial Intelligence in Regional and Rural Health Districts in Australia. *Australian Journal of Rural Health*, 31(6), 1203–1213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajr.13045>
- Slade, J. J., Byers, S. M., Becker-Blease, K. A., & Gurung, R. A. R. (2024). Navigating the New Frontier: Recommendations to Address the Crisis and Potential of AI in the Classroom. *Teaching of Psychology*, 52(3), 254–261. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00986283241276098>
- Suman, S. (2025). The Inclusion of Artificial Intelligence in Teaching Learning Process is Blessing or Curse. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10(26s), 593–612. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i26s.4265>
- Sunny, S., Sugandha, S., Devesh, L., & Bhavna, G. (2024). Technological Integration in Higher Education: Insights from the Indian Context. *European Economic Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jier.v4i2.1129>
- Tucker, M. C., Shaw, S. T., Son, J. Y., & Stigler, J. W. (2023). Teaching Statistics and Data

- Analysis with R. *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education*, 31(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/26939169.2022.2089410>
- Velázquez-García, L. (2025). AI-Based Applications Enhancing Computer Science Teaching in Higher Education. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10(2), 14–32. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i2.920>
- Viderman, D., Dossov, M., Seitenov, S., & Lee, M.-H. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Ultrasound-Guided Regional Anesthesia: A Scoping Review. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.994805>
- Walter, Y. (2024). Embracing the future of Artificial Intelligence in the classroom: the relevance of AI literacy, prompt engineering, and critical thinking in modern education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00448-3>
- Yan, W., Nakajima, T., & Sawada, R. (2024). Benefits and Challenges of Collaboration Between Students and Conversational Generative Artificial Intelligence in Programming Learning: An Empirical Case Study. *Education Sciences*, 14(4), 433. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14040433>
- Zhong, X. (2024). Exploring Teachers' Role Changes in an Informationalized Teaching Environment. *Journal of Education and Educational Research*, 11(2), 81–87. <https://doi.org/10.54097/td8kbp35>